SPP Status Key:

1 =Program(s) met target 2 =Program(s) did not meet target N/A= Not Applicable at this time

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
	65%	67%		1
#1 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSP in a timely manner.	Maricopa Pima County		Not in this site review cycle Not in this site review cycle	N/A N/A
This is for an effect in formal and the first of the second secon	Santa Cru	ız County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
This information is from monitoring data collected between July 2005 and June 2006. All counties will be monitored once during a 6 year period.	Apache and Navajo Counties		38% (2006)	2
will be morntered error during a c year period.	Coconino County		61% (2006)	1
		County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Mohave County La Paz County		Not in this site review cycle Not in this site review cycle	N/A N/A
"County/Program(s) Status" data are	Yuma County		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
collected from site review monitoring in	Pinal County		13%	2
current and subsequent monitoring cycles.	Gila County		38%	2
	Cochise	County	86%	1
Not all programs are represented in each site review cycle.	Graham	County	86%	1
	Greenlee County		86&	1
	ASDB Statewide		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Navajo	Nation	27%	2

SPP Status Key:

1 =Program(s) met target
2 =Program(s) did not meet target
N/A= Not Applicable at this time

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
	86%	84%		2
#2 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention	Mari	copa	89%	1
services in the home or programs for typically developing children.	Pima (County	59%	2
developing emidren.	Santa Cru	uz County	100%	1
[Note: The data for 2006-2007 came from	Apache County		92%	1
billing sources. Services in community settings are undercounted in this data source	Navajo County		99%	1
	Coconin	o County	99%	1
due to coding problems.}	Yavapa	i County	90%	1
	Mohave	County	95%	1
	La Paz County		86%	1
	Yuma County		60%	2
	Pinal (County	96%	1
	Gila C	County	35%	2
	Cochise	County	97%	1
	Graham County		93%	1
	Greenle	e County	100%	1

SPP Status Key:

1 = Program(s) met target

2 = Program(s) did not meet target, but made progress from previous year

3 = Program(s) did not meet target, and did not make progress from previous year

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
#5 Percent of infants 0-1 year of age with	.67%	.60%		2
IFSPs compared to other states and national	Maricopa		.49%	2
		County	.90%	1
		uz County	.30%	3
		ne and	.60%	3
		Counties	.90%	1
		o County	.80%	1
	Yavapa	i County	.42%	3
	Mohave	County	.50%	2
	La Paz	County	1.30%	1
	Yuma	County	.40%	3
	Pinal (County	.70%	1
	Gila C	county	.70%	1
	Cochise	County	.40&	3
		County	1.30%	1
	Greenle	e County	.90%	1

SPP Status Key:

- 1 = Program(s) met target
- 2 = Program(s) did not meet target, but made progress from previous year
- 3 = Program(s) did not meet target, and did not make progress from previous year

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
#6 Percent of infants 0-3 years of age with IFSPs compared to other states and national	1.65%	1.81%		1
il 3F3 compared to other states and national	Mari	сора	1.49%	2
	Pima (County	2.32%	1
	Santa Cri	uz County	1.69&	1
	Apach	ne and	1.84%	1
	Navajo (Counties	2.67%	1
	Coconin	o County	1.65%	1
	Yavapa	i County	2.1%	1
	Mohave	County	1.35%	3
	La Paz	County	4.17%	1
	Yuma	County	.86%	2
	Pinal (County	1.90%	1
	Gila C	County	2.47%	1
	Cochise	County	1.44%	3
	Graham	County	3.27%	1
	Greenle	e County	3.12%	1

SPP Status Key:

1 =Program(s) met target 2 =Program(s) did not meet target,

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
#7 Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs	60%	59%		2
who received evaluation/assessment and IFSP with in 45 days of referral.	Mari	сора	47%	2
		unty and uz County	71%	1
	Apache and Navajo Counties		75%	1
	Coconino County		99%	1
	Yavapai County		91%	1
		County County	71%	1
		County	54%	2
	Pinal County		56%	2
	Gila County		61%	1
	Cochise	County		
	Graham	County		1
	Greenle	e County	81%	
	ASDB S	tatewide	95%	1
	Navajo	Nation	72%	1

Indicator #8: Percent of children exiting early intervention services who receive timely transition planning.

SPP Status Key:

1 =Program(s) met target

2 = Program(s) did not meet target,

N/A = Not applicable

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
#8 A Percent of children exiting early	100%	91%		2
intervention services whose IFSP included appropriate transition steps and services.	Mari	copa	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
This information is from monitoring data collected	Pima (County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
between July 2005 and June 2006. All counties	Santa Cru	ız County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
will be monitored once during a 6 year period.	Apach	ne and		
	Navajo Counties		87%	2
	Coconino County		91%	2
	Yavapai County		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Mohave	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	La Paz	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
"County/Program(s) Status" data are	Yuma	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
collected from site review monitoring in	Pinal (County	82%	N/A
current and subsequent monitoring cycles.	Gila C	County	82%	N/A
Not all programs are represented in each site	Cochise	County	100%	N/A
review cycle.	Graham	County	100%	N/A
	Greenle	e County	100%	N/A
	ASDB S	tatewide	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Navajo	Nation	59%	2

Indicator #8: Percent of children exiting early intervention services who receive timely transition planning.

SPP Status Key: 1 =Program(s) met target 2 =Program(s) did not meet target, N/A = Not applicable

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
#8-B Percent of children exiting early	100%	68%		2
intervention and potentially eligible for preschool special education services, and notification was provided to the local school	Maricopa		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
district.		County uz County	Not in this site review cycle Not in this site review cycle	N/A N/A
This information is from monitoring data collected between July 2005 and June 2006. All counties	Apache and Navajo Counties		100% (2006)	1
will be monitored once during a 6 year period.	Coconino County		91% (2006)	2
	Yavapai County		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
"County/Program(s) Status" data are collected from site review monitoring in current and subsequent monitoring cycles. Not all programs are represented in each site review cycle.	Mohave	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	La Paz	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Yuma	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Pinal County		30%	N/A
	Gila County		30%	N/A
	Cochise	County	100%	N/A
	Graham	County	100%	N/A
	Greenle	e County	100%	N/A
	ASDB S	tatewide	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Navajo	Nation	67% (2006)	2

Indicator #8: Percent of children exiting early intervention services who receive timely transition planning.

SPP Status Key:

1 =Program(s) met target

2 = Program(s) did not meet target,

N/A = Not applicable

State Performance Plan Indicator	State Target	State Status	County/Program(s) Status	SPP Status
#8-C				_
Percent of children exiting early intervention	100%	67%		2
services and potentially eligible for preschool special education services, and a transition	Mari	copa	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
planning conference was held.	Pima (County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
This information is from monitoring data collected	Santa Cri	uz County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
between July 2005 and June 2006. All counties	Apach	ne and		
will be monitored once during a 6 year period.	Navajo Counties		100% (2006)	1
	Coconino County		60% (2006)	2
	Yavapai County		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
"County/Program(s) Status" data are	Mohave	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
collected from site review monitoring in current and subsequent monitoring cycles. Not all programs are represented in each site review cycle.	La Paz	County	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Yuma County		Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Pinal County		33%	N/A
	Gila County		33%	N/A
	Cochise	County	83%	N/A
	Graham	County	83%	N/A
	Greenle	e County	83%	N/A
	ASDB S	tatewide	Not in this site review cycle	N/A
	Navajo	Nation	20%	2